arXiv:1703.02289v1 [math.NT] 7 Mar 2017

JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF CONJUGATE ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS: A RANDOM POLYNOMIAL APPROACH

FRIEDRICH GÖTZE, DENIS KOLEDA, AND DMITRY ZAPOROZHETS

Abstract. Given a polynomial $q(z) := a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ and a vector of positive weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$, define the $\mathbf{w}$-weighted l_p-norm of q as

$$l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q] := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} |w_k a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad p \in [1, \infty].$$

In the non-weighted case $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{1}$, this notion generalizes the naïve height ($p = \infty$), the length ($p = 1$), and the Euclidean norm ($p = 2$). An important weighted example is the Bombieri p-norm:

$$[q]_p := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^{1-p} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Define the $\mathbf{w}$-weighted l_p-norm of an algebraic number to be the $\mathbf{w}$-weighted l_p-norm of its minimal polynomial. For non-negative integers k, l such that $k + 2l \le n$ and a Borel subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l$ denote by $\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)$ the number of ordered $(k+l)$-tuples in B of conjugate algebraic numbers of degree n and $\mathbf{w}$-weighted l_p-norm at most Q. We show that

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)}{Q^{n+1}} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_B \rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})$ is the volume of the unit $\mathbf{w}$-weighted l_p-ball and $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$ shall denote the correlation function of k real and l complex zeros of the random polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\eta_k}{w_k} z^k$ for i.i.d. random variables η_k with density $c_p e^{|t|^p}$ for $p < \infty$ resp. with constant density on $[-1, 1]$ for $p = \infty$. We give an explicit formula for $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$ which in the case $k + 2l = n$ simplifies to

$$\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l} = \frac{2}{(n+1)\,\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})} \frac{\sqrt{|\mathrm{D}[q]|}}{(l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q])^{n+1}},$$

where q is the monic polynomial whose zeros are the arguments of the correlation function $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l}$ and $\mathrm{D}[q]$ denotes its discriminant.

As an application, we show that with respect to the Bombieri 2-norm, i.e. for $p = 2$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b} := \left(\binom{n}{k}^{-1/2}\right)_{k=0}^{n}$, the asymptotic density of real algebraic numbers coincides with the normalized Cauchy density up to a constant factor depending on n only:

$$\rho_{2,\mathbf{b},1,0}(x) = c_n \cdot \frac{1}{\pi(1 + x^2)}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary, 11N45; secondary, 11C08, 60G55, 30C15, 26C10.

Key words and phrases. conjugate algebraic numbers, correlations between algebraic numbers, distribution of algebraic numbers, integral polynomials, random polynomials, mixed correlation functions, real zeros, complex zeros, Coarea formula, Bombieri norm, l_p-balls, weighted l_p-norm.

The work was done with the financial support of the Bielefeld University (Germany) in terms of project SFB 701. The work of the third author is supported by the grant RFBR 16-01-00367 and by the Program of Fundamental Researches of Russian Academy of Sciences "Modern Problems of Fundamental Mathematics".

1. Introduction

What is the distribution of algebraic numbers of a given degree n? To answer this question, we first need to define a suitable notion for this distribution. For discrete sets like e.g. infinite subsets of integers one is lead to consider asymptotic relative densities of such sets in intervals of integers $[1, N]$ in the large N limit. Clearly, this direct approach does not work for algebraic numbers (real or complex), since any domain contains infinite number of them (even for a fixed degree n). A possible solution is a classical stratification of algebraic numbers by the concept of height. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the field of (all) algebraic numbers over $\mathbb{Q}$. A function $h : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a *height function* if for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $Q > 0$ there are only finitely many algebraic numbers α of degree n such that $h(\alpha) \le Q$. Note that usually it is required (and we will always assume this) that $h(\alpha') = h(\alpha)$ for all conjugates of α.

Having defined h, we are interested in the asymptotic number of $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degree n lying in a given subset B of $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ such that $h(\alpha) \le Q$ as $Q \to \infty$. More generally, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, one would like to determine the asymptotic behaviour of the number of k-tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$ of *conjugate* algebraic numbers of degree n and height at most Q as $Q \to \infty$.

In this paper, we consider so-called weighted l_p-heights ($p \in [1, \infty]$).

We would like to emphasize that throughout this paper the degree n of polynomials, algebraic numbers, etc. is *fixed*. We consider algebraic numbers regardless of the particular algebraic number fields they belong to, while counting all vectors with algebraic conjugate coordinates that lie in a given region and have bounded height.

Section 2 contains some basic notation. In Section 3 we describe the problem of counting vectors with algebraic coordinates and formulate the main number-theoretical results of the paper. Section 4 gives a necessary account of related topics in random polynomials. There we formulate the principal result relating distributions of zeros of random polynomials and algebraic numbers. In Section 5 we state several explicit formulae for a function, which plays the role of the joint distribution functions for conjugate algebraic numbers. Sections 6, 7 and 8 contain the proofs of our statements.

2. Basic definitions

Given a polynomial $q(z) := a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ and a vector of positive weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ define the $\mathbf{w}$-weighted $l_{p,\mathbf{w}}$-norm of q as

$$l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q] := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n |w_i a_i|^p\right)^{1/p}, & p < \infty; \\ \max_{0 \le i \le n} w_i |a_i|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

In the non-weighted case $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{1}$, this notion generalizes the naïve height ($p = \infty$), the length ($p = 1$), and the Euclidean norm ($p = 2$):

$$H[q] := \max_{0 \le i \le n} |a_i|, \quad L[q] := \sum_{i=0}^n |a_i|, \quad \|q\| := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

An important weighted example is the Bombieri p-norm:

$$[q]_p := \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^{1-p} |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote by $\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$ the $(n+1)$-dimensional unit $l_{p,\mathbf{w}}$-ball:

$$\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1} := \left\{(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \colon \sum_{k=0}^n |w_k a_k|^p \le 1\right\}.$$

Using the well-known formula for the volume $\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_p^{n+1})$ of the (non-weighted) unit l_p-ball $\mathbb{B}_p^{n+1}$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}) = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_p^{n+1})}{w_0 w_1 \dots w_n} = \frac{2^{n+1}\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)^{n+1}}{w_0 w_1 \dots w_n \Gamma\left(1+\frac{n+1}{p}\right)}. \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)$ denote the class of integer polynomials (polynomials with integer coefficients) of degree n and the $l_{p,\mathbf{w}}$-height at most Q:

$$\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q) := \{q \in \mathbb{Z}[z] \colon \deg[q] = n,\, l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q] \le Q\}.$$

We say that an integral polynomial is *prime*, if it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, primitive (the greatest common divisor of its coefficients equals 1), and its leading coefficient is positive. Denote by $\mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)$ the class of prime polynomials from $\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)$:

$$\mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q) := \{q \in \mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q) \colon q \text{ is prime}\}.$$

The *minimal polynomial* of an algebraic number α is a (unique) prime polynomial q such that $q(\alpha) = 0$. We put by definition

$$l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[\alpha] := l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q].$$

The other roots of q are called (algebraic) *conjugates* of α.

3. Distribution of algebraic numbers

We would like to study the joint distribution of several conjugate algebraic numbers of degree n and bounded height. What is the natural configuration space for this problem? Consider a prime polynomial of degree n. Some of its zeros are real, and the rest are symmetric with respect to the real line. Thus we may neglect the zeros lying in $\mathbb{C}_-$. Fix some integer numbers $k, l \ge 0$ such that $0 < k + 2l \le n$.

For a Borel subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l$ and the height function $l_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ denote by $\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)$ the number of ordered mixed (k,l)-tuples $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in B$ of *distinct* numbers such that for some $q \in \mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)$ it holds

$$q(\alpha_1) = \dots = q(\alpha_k) = 0.$$

Essentially $\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)$ denotes the number of ordered k-tuples in B of conjugate algebraic numbers of degree n and height $l_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ at most Q.

We always assume that ∂B, the boundary of B, has Lebesgue measure 0. Our aim is to show that there exists a non-trivial limit

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)}{Q^{n+1}} \tag{2}$$

and to find its exact value.

Theorem 3.1. *For $p \in (0, \infty]$, a fixed positive vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$, and some integer numbers $k, l \ge 0$ such that $0 < k + 2l \le n$ there exists a function $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l} : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l$ we have*

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)}{Q^{n+1}} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_B \rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})$ is given in (1).

Provided that ∂B is smooth enough we are able to estimate as well the rate of convergence in (2).

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that the boundary of B is the union of m algebraic surfaces of degree at most m'. Then,*

$$\left| \frac{\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q,B)}{Q^{n+1}} - \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{p,\mathbf{w}})}{2\zeta(n+1)} \int_B \rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z} \right| \le C_{n,m,m'} \frac{\log^{\chi_{n,l}} Q}{Q}$$

where $C_{n,m,m'}$ depends on n, m, m' only (and may also depend on $\mathbf{w}$) and

$$\chi_{n,l} := \begin{cases} 1, & n = 2, l = 0; \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

It turns out that the function $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$ coincides with the correlation function of the roots of some specific random polynomial. To formulate the result we first recall some essential notions.

In case of the naïve height ($p = \infty$, $w_i = 1$), the problem of finding the limit (2) was solved for real and complex algebraic numbers (i.e. for $k = 1$, $l = 0$, see [21], and for $k = 0$, $l = 1$, see [13]), and for vectors with real algebraic conjugate coordinates ($l = 0$, see [12]).

Unfortunately, our approach cannot cover the case of the Mahler measure. The Mahler measure (in particular, in the form of the Weil height) has many applications in algebraic number theory. Counting algebraic numbers and points with respect to the Weil height and its generalisations has been intensively studied. See the papers [27], [26], [37], [14] for results in this direction and related references.

4. Zeros of random polynomials

Let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ be independent *real-valued* random variables with probability density functions $f_0, \dots, f_n$. Consider the random polynomial defined by

$$G(z) := \xi_0 + \xi_1 z + \cdots + \xi_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4}$$

With probability one, all zeros of G are simple. Denote by μ the empirical measure counting the zeros of G:

$$\mu := \sum_{z : G(z) = 0} \delta_z,$$

where δ_z is the unit point mass at z. The random measure μ may be regarded as a random point process on $\mathbb{C}$. A natural way of describing the distribution of a point process is via its *correlation functions*. Since the coefficients of G are real, its zeros are symmetric with respect to the real line, and some of them are real. Therefore, the natural configuration space for the point process μ must be a "separated" union $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}$ with topology induced by the union of topologies in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}$. Instead of considering the correlation functions of the process on $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}$, an equivalent way is to investigate the *mixed (k,l)-correlation functions* (see [35]). We call functions $\rho_{k,l} : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+$, where $0 < k + 2l \le n$, mixed (k,l)-correlation functions of the zeros of G, if for any family of mutually disjoint Borel subsets $B_1, \dots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{k+1}, \dots, B_{k+l} \subset \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = \int_{B_1} \cdots \int_{B_{k+l}} \rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the (product) distribution of $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$. Here and subsequently, we write

$$\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad \mathbf{z} := (z_1, \dots, z_l) \in \mathbb{C}_+^l.$$

The most intensively studied class of random polynomials are *Kac polynomials*, when ξ_i's are i.i.d. Sometimes the i.i.d. coefficients are considered with some non-random weights c_i's. The common examples are *flat or Weil polynomials* (with $c_i = \sqrt{1/i!}$) and *elliptic polynomials* (with $c_i = \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$).

The $(1,0)$-correlation function $\rho_{1,0}$ is called density of real zeros. Integrated over $\mathbb{R}$ it equals the average number of real zeros of G. The asymptotic properties of this object as $n \to \infty$ have been intensively studied for many years, mostly for Kac polynomials; see the historical background in [4] and the survey of the most recent results in [35]. We just mention some contributions here like: [20], [9], [34], [18], [28], [25], [32].

Similarly, $\rho_{0,1}$ is called a density of complex zeros being an expectation of the empirical measure μ counting non-real zeros. Its limit behaviour as $n \to \infty$ is of a great interest as well, see [31], [17], [16], [19], [29], [30], and the references given there.

There are comparatively few papers on higher-order correlation functions of zeros. Well-known results are due to Bleher and Di [5], [6] who studied the correlations between real zeros for elliptic and Kac polynomials, and to Tao and Vu [35] who proved asymptotic universality for the mixed correlation functions for elliptic, Weil, and Kac polynomials under some moment conditions on ξ_i.

Our next result connects the limit density of tuples of conjugate algebraic numbers with the correlation function of zeros of the following random polynomial.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$ and let $\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ be i.i.d. random variables with a Lebesgue density given by*

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} e^{-|t|^p}, & p < \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(t), & p = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Consider the random polynomial defined as

$$G_{p,\mathbf{w}}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\eta_k}{w_k} z^k. \tag{7}$$

Then, the mixed (k,l)-correlation function of zeros of $G_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ coincides with the function $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$ defined in Theorem 3.1.

The exact formula for $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$ is given in Section 5. Let us now formulate one important special case.

4.1. **Bombieri 2-Norm.** The next theorem shows that the way of counting algebraic numbers with respect to the Bombieri 2-norm is in some sense most natural. It has been shown in [8] that in the case of Bombieri 2-norm the zeros of the corresponding random polynomial (sometimes called *elliptic* random polynomial) have a very simple density.

Theorem 4.2 (Edelman–Kostlan [8])**.** *Assume that* $\mathbf{w} = \left(\binom{n}{i}^{-1/2}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$. *Then*

$$\rho_{2,\mathbf{w},1,0}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\pi(1+x^2)}.$$

Thus for any degree n the asymptotic density of algebraic numbers counted with respect to Bombieri 2-norm coincides with the normalized Cauchy density. In particular, from Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\Phi_{2,\mathbf{w},1,0}(Q,B)}{Q^{n+1}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\,\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{2,\mathbf{w}})}{2\pi\,\zeta(n+1)} \int_B \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{1+x^2}.$$

The volume $\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{2,\mathbf{w}})$ can be calculated as

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{2,\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma\big(1+\frac{n+1}{2}\big)}\sqrt{\prod_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}} = \frac{(\pi\, n!)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma\big(1+\frac{n+1}{2}\big)\prod_{i=0}^{n} i!}.$$

5. General Formula for $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}$

Recall that $f_0,\dots,f_n$ denote the probability density functions of the coefficients $\xi_0,\dots,\xi_n$ of G and $\rho_{k,l}$ denotes the mixed correlation function of its zeros; see (4) and (5). For $m=1,\dots,n$, consider a function $\rho_m:\mathbb{C}^m\to\mathbb{R}$ defined via

$$\rho_m(z_1,\dots,z_m) := \prod_{1\le i<j\le m}|z_i-z_j| \times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{m-i+j}\sigma_{m-i+j}(z_1,\dots,z_m)t_j\right)\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-m}t_j z_i^j\right|\mathrm{d}t_0\dots\mathrm{d}t_{n-m}, \tag{8}$$

where we used the following notation for the elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$\sigma_i(z_1,\dots,z_m) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad i=0,\\ \sum_{1\le j_1<\dots<j_i\le m} z_{j_1}z_{j_2}\dots z_{j_i}, & \text{if} \quad 1\le i\le m,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

It is tacitly assumed that the arguments of f_i's are well-defined: we shall restrict ourselves to consider those points $(z_1,\dots,z_m)$ only such that all symmetric functions of them are real.

Introduce as well the absolute value of the Vandermonde determinant as:

$$\mathrm{v}_m(z_1,\dots,z_m) := \prod_{1\le i<j\le m}|z_i-z_j|. \tag{10}$$

It was proved in [11] that the correlation functions of real zeros are given by (8):

$$\rho_{k,0}(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_k(\mathbf{x})$$

for all $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^k$. The following theorem generalises this relation to all mixed (k,l)-correlation functions.

Theorem 5.1. *For all* $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\in\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{C}^l_+$,

$$\rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = 2^l\rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}), \tag{11}$$

where ρ_{k+2l} *is defined in* (8). *Specifically,*

$$\rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = 2^l\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k-2l+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}(-1)^{k-i+j}t_j\sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right) \times\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}t_j x_i^j\right|\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}t_j z_i^j\right|^2\mathrm{d}t_0\dots\mathrm{d}t_{n-k-2l}. \tag{12}$$

Note that the correlations between real zeros and the correlations between complex zeros are essentially given by the *same* function ρ_m. In particular, ρ_2 provides a formula for the density of complex zeros as well as for the two-point correlation function of real zeros:

$$\rho_{0,1}(z) = 2\rho_2(z,\bar{z}), \quad \rho_{2,0}(x,y) = \rho_2(x,y).$$

The latter formula (with different notations) was obtained in [39].

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is given in Section 8.

From Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 the following statement immediately follows.

Corollary 5.2. *The desired explicit formula for* $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ *follows by choosing*

$$f_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{w_i}{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} e^{-|w_i t|^p}, & p < \infty, \\ \frac{w_i}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(w_i t), & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

in (12).

5.1. **Other applications of Theorem 5.1.** Taking $k = 1, l = 0$ in (12) yields a formula for the density of real zeros:

$$\rho_{1,0}(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left(t_{i-1} - x t_i\right) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j x^j \right| \mathrm{d}t_0 \ldots \mathrm{d}t_{n-1},$$

where we set $t_{-1} = t_n := 0$.

Taking $k = 0, l = 1$ yields a formula for the density of complex zeros:

$$\rho_{0,1}(z) = 4|\operatorname{Im} z| \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i(t_{i-2} - 2t_{i-1}\operatorname{Re} z + t_i|z|^2) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} t_j z^j \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t_0 \ldots \mathrm{d}t_{n-2},$$

where we set $t_{-2} = t_{-1} = t_{n-1} = t_n := 0$.

5.2. n**-Point Correlation Functions.** Taking $k = n - 2l$ we obtain the (non-normalized) joint density of all zeros given that G has exactly $n - 2l$ real zeros:

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = 2^l \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^n \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left((-1)^{n-i} t \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right) \mathrm{d}t. \tag{13}$$

It is easy to derive from (5) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mu(\mathbb{R})!}{(\mu(\mathbb{R}) - n + 2l)!} \frac{\mu(\mathbb{C}_+)!}{(\mu(\mathbb{C}_+) - l)!}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2l}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_+^l} \rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where we used the convention $0! := 1$ and $q! := \infty$ for any integer $q < 0$. Since with probability one $\mu(\mathbb{R}) + 2\mu(\mathbb{C}_+) = n$, the random variable under expectation is non-zero if and only if $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = n - 2l$. Thus we obtain the probability that G has exactly $n - 2l$ real zeros:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu(\mathbb{R}) = n - 2l] = \frac{2^l}{l!(n-2l)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2l}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_+^l} \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^n \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left((-1)^{n-i} t \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}.$$

This formula has been obtained earlier in [38].

5.3. **Correlation Function and Polynomial Discriminant.** For an arbitrary monic polynomial $q(z) := (z - z_1)\ldots(z - z_n)$ define its discriminant as

$$\mathrm{D}[q] = \mathrm{D}[z_1, \ldots, z_n] := \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)^2.$$

When $k + 2l = n$, the function $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l}$ gives the correlation between all zeros of G when exactly k of them are real.

Theorem 5.3. *We have*

$$\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l} = 2^l c_{n,p,\mathbf{w}} \frac{\sqrt{|\mathrm{D}[q]|}}{(l_{p,\mathbf{w}}[q])^{n+1}},$$

where

$$c_{n,p,\mathbf{w}} := \frac{2}{n+1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})} = \frac{w_0 w_1 \dots w_n \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{p}\right)}{2^n p \left(\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{n+1}}$$

and q is the monic polynomial whose zeros are the arguments of $\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l}$:

$$q(z) := (z-x_1)\dots(z-x_k)(z-z_1)(z-\overline{z_1})\dots(z-z_l)(z-\overline{z_l}).$$

6. Proof of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1

6.1. **Methods of Proof: Counting Integer Points.** We reduce counting algebraic numbers to counting corresponding minimal polynomials. So we need to formulate some statements about counting integer points in regions.

For a Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote by $\lambda(A)$ the number of points in A with integer coordinates, and by $\lambda^*(A)$ the number of points in A with coprime integer coordinates:

$$\lambda(A) = \#\left(A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\right),$$

$$\lambda^*(A) = \#\left\{(x_1,\dots,x_d) \in A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : \gcd(x_1,\dots,x_d)\right\}.$$

For a real number r and a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote

$$rS = \{r\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in S\}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a fixed bounded region. If the boundary ∂A has Lebesgue measure 0, then*

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\lambda(QA)}{Q^d} = \mathrm{Vol}_d(A).$$

It is important to note that for the existence of the limit A should be necessarily Jordan measurable, since simply Lebesgue measurable isn't sufficient. For example, if one takes A to be the set of points in $[0,1]^d$ with rational coordinates, then for any positive integer Q the set QA contains $\approx Q^d$ integer points, but A has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proof. The lemma can be easily proved if one considers coverings of A by d-dimensional cubes with edge Q^{-1}. See, for example, [23, Chapter VI §2]. Note that none of the conditions of the lemma can be omitted. □

Lemma 6.2. *Assuming the conditions of Lemma 6.1 we have*

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty} \frac{\lambda^*(QA)}{Q^d} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}_d(A)}{\zeta(d)}.$$

Proof. Using the inclusion-exclusion principle (Moebius inversion) one can easily show that

$$\lambda^*(QA) = \sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \mu(k)\, \lambda\left(\frac{Q}{k}A\right),$$

where N is any positive number such that $A \subseteq [-N,N]^d$.

Denote

$$\theta_A(Q) = \frac{\lambda(QA)}{Q^d} - \mathrm{Vol}_d(A).$$

Then we have

$$\lambda^*(QA) = Q^d \left(\sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} \operatorname{Vol}_d(A) + \sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} \, \theta_A\Big(\frac{Q}{k}\Big) \right).$$

For the first sum it is known that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} - \frac{1}{\zeta(d)} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=[QN]+2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} \right| \le \frac{1}{(d-1)(QN)^{d-1}}.$$

From Lemma 6.1 we infer that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $Q_0 = Q_0(A, \epsilon)$ such that $|\theta_A(Q)| \le \epsilon$ for all $Q \ge Q_0$. Hence

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} \, \theta_A\Big(\frac{Q}{k}\Big) \right| \le \epsilon \sum_{1 \le k \le \frac{Q}{Q_0}} \frac{1}{k^d} + \sum_{k > \frac{Q}{Q_0}} \frac{1}{k^d} \le \zeta(d)\, \epsilon + \frac{Q_0(\epsilon)^{d-1}}{(d-1)(QN)^{d-1}}.$$

Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{[QN]+1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^d} \, \theta_A\Big(\frac{Q}{k}\Big) \right| \le \zeta(d)\, \epsilon.$$

Thus the lemma is proved. □

Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 provide no estimates for the rate of convergence. Additionally, in these Lemmas the region A is kept fixed and therefore cannot depend on Q. To avoid all these restrictions one needs to restrict oneself to a suitable class of regions. See [7], [23], [33].

Lemma 6.3. *Consider a region $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \ge 2$, with boundary consisting of m algebraic surfaces of degree at most m'. Then*

$$\left| \frac{\lambda^*(QA)}{Q^d} - \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_d(A)}{\zeta(d)} \right| \le C_{d,m,m'} \frac{\log^{\chi_{d,0}} Q}{Q}, \tag{14}$$

where $C_{d,m,m'}$ depends on d, m, m' only and $\chi_{d,0}$ is defined in (3).

For the proof of Lemma 6.3, see [13].

Estimating the number of integer points in a region by its measure is a well-known idea. The most «ancient» publication (that relates integer point counting to the volume of a region), which the authors are aware of, is a result by Lipschitz [24]. See as well the classical monograph by Bachmann [1, pp. 436–444] (in particular, formulas (83a) and (83b) on pages 441–442). There are a number of papers generalising such estimates to arbitrary lattices, see e.g. [26] and [2].

6.2. **Proof of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1.** Let us describe how to calculate $\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B)$.

Given a function $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a Borel subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$ denote by $\mu_{g,k,l}(B)$ the number of ordered k-tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_k, z_1, \dots, z_l) \in B$ of *distinct* numbers such that

$$g(x_1) = \dots = g(z_l) = 0.$$

For any algebraic number its minimal polynomial is prime, and any prime polynomial is a minimal polynomial for some algebraic number. Therefore we have

$$\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q, B) = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)} \mu_{q,k,l}(B). \tag{15}$$

On the other hand the right-hand side can obviously be written as

$$\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q,B)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m\cdot\#\{q\in\mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon \mu_{q,k,l}(B)=m\}. \tag{16}$$

Since $\mu_{q,k,l}(B)\le n!/(n-k-2l)!$, the sum in the right-hand side is finite.

Consider a set $A_m\subset\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ (which depends on B) consisting of all points $(a_0,\dots,a_n)\in\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ such that

$$\mu_{a_0+a_1x+\dots+a_nx^n,k,l}(B)=m.$$

Then, by definition of a primitive polynomial,

$$\#\{q\in\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon q \text{ is primitive and } \mu_{q,k,l}(B)=m\}=\lambda^*(QA_m).$$

Hence it follows from the definition of a prime polynomial that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\#\{p\in\mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon \mu_{q,k,l}(B)=m\}-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^*(QA_m)\right|&\\ \le\#\{q\in\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon q \text{ is reducible}\}.&\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that the factor $1/2$ arises because prime polynomials have positive leading coefficients. It is known (see [36]) that

$$\#\{q\in\mathcal{P}_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon q \text{ is reducible}\}=O\left(Q^n\log^{\chi_{n,0}}Q\right),\quad Q\to\infty, \tag{18}$$

where $\chi_{n,0}$ is defined in (3). Note that for $n=2$ any reducible integer polynomial has only real roots. Thus (17) and (18) imply

$$\left|\#\{p\in\mathcal{P}^*_{p,\mathbf{w}}(Q)\colon \mu_{q,k,l}(B)=m\}-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^*(QA_m)\right|=\left(Q^n\log^{\chi_{n,l}}Q\right),\quad Q\to\infty.$$

Applying this to (16), we obtain

$$\Phi_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(Q,B)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m\lambda^*(QA_m)+O\left(Q^n\log^{\chi_{n,l}}Q\right),\quad Q\to\infty. \tag{19}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.2 that under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1,

$$\lim_{Q\to\infty}\frac{\lambda^*(QA_m)}{Q^{n+1}}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(A_m)}{\zeta(n+1)}. \tag{20}$$

Moreover, if B satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, from Lemma 6.3 we get that

$$\left|\frac{\lambda^*(QA_m)}{Q^{n+1}}-\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(A_m)}{\zeta(n+1)}\right|\le\frac{C_{n,m,m'}}{Q}, \tag{21}$$

where $C_{n,m,m'}$ depends only on the degree n, the number m of the algebraic surfaces forming ∂B, and the maximal degree m' of these surfaces.

Thus we are left with the task of calculating of $\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(A_m)$. To this end, consider the random polynomial defined as

$$\tilde{G}(z):=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\xi_k z^k,$$

where the random vector $(\xi_0,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n)$ is uniformly distributed over $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{p,\mathbf{w}}$. By definition of A_m,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu_{\tilde{G},k,l}(B)=m]=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(A_m)}{\mathrm{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}_{p,\mathbf{w}})}. \tag{22}$$

The probability on the left-hand side is difficult to calculate due to the dependence of the coefficients of $\tilde{G}(z)$. However, the zeros of $\tilde{G}(z)$ do not change if we divide

the polynomial by any non-zero constant. By proper normalisation we can achieve independence of the coefficients.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $p \in [1,\infty]$, and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be a vector of positive weights. Assume that the random vector $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}$ and that the random variables $\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ are i.i.d. with Lebesgue density*

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)} e^{-|t|^p}, & p < \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(t), & p = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Then the polynomials $\tilde{G}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \xi_k z^k$ and

$$G(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\eta_k}{w_k} z^k \tag{24}$$

have the same distribution of roots (both real and complex).

To prove the lemma, we need the following probabilistic representation of the uniform measure on $\mathbb{B}_p^{n+1}$.

Theorem 6.5 (Barthe et al.)**.** *Let $p > 0$ and let $\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ be i.i.d. random variables with p.d.f. (23). Let Z be an exponential random variable (i.e., the p.d.f. of Z is $e^{-t}, t \geq 0$) independent of $\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$. Then,*

$$\frac{(\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)}{(\sum_{i=0}^{n} |\eta_i|^p + Z)^{1/p}} \stackrel{d}{=} (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Proof. See [3]. □

Now we are ready to prove the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 6.4. It is easy to check that the vector $(w_0\xi_0, w_1\xi_1, \dots, w_n\xi_n)$ is uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{B}_p^{n+1}$. Therefore, from Theorem 6.5 we obtain at once

$$\frac{(w_0^{-1}\eta_0, w_1^{-1}\eta_1, \dots, w_n^{-1}\eta_n)}{(\sum_{i=0}^{n} |\eta_i|^p + Z)^{1/p}} \stackrel{d}{=} (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Thus the lemma is proved. □

Thus it readily follows that

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu_{\tilde{G},k,l}(B) = m] = \mathbb{P}[\mu_{G,k,l}(B) = m],$$

where the random polynomial G is defined in (24). Combining this with (22), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \operatorname{Vol}_{n+1}(A_m) &= \operatorname{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m\, \mathbb{P}[\mu_{G,k,l}(B) = m] \\ &= \operatorname{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1})\, \mathbb{E}[\mu_{G,k,l}(B)] = \operatorname{Vol}_{n+1}(\mathbb{B}_{p,\mathbf{w}}^{n+1}) \int_B \rho_{p,\mathbf{w},k,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last relation follows from the properties of correlation functions (see, e.g., [15]). Combining this with (19), (20), and (21) finishes the proof of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1.

7. Proof of Theorems 5.3

We first consider the case $p < \infty$. Applying (13) to $G_{p,\mathbf{w}}$ defined in (7) gives

$$\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2^{l-n-1} w_0 \dots w_n}{\left(\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{n+1}} \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^n \exp\left(-|t|^p \sum_{i=0}^{n} |w_i \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})|^p\right) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Using the substitution

$$s = |t|^p \sum_{i=0}^{n} |w_i \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})|^p$$

and a representation of the gamma function, we obtain

$$\rho_{p,\mathbf{w},n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2^{l-n} w_0 \dots w_n \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{p}\right)}{p\left(\Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)^{n+1}} \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} |w_i \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})|^p\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{p}}.$$

Using the identity $\frac{1}{p}\,\Gamma\Big(\frac{n+1}{p}\Big) = \frac{1}{n+1}\,\Gamma\Big(\frac{n+1}{p}+1\Big)$ concludes the proof of Theorem 5.3.

8. Proof of Theorem 5.1

8.1. **Preliminaries.** Suppose that $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_l \in \mathbb{C}_+$ are different zeros of G. It means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_k & \dots & x_k^n \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^n \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^n \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{25}$$

Denote by $V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ the real Vandermonde type matrix

$$V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^{k+2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_k & \dots & x_k^{k+2l} \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l} \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l} \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, (25) is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j x_k^j \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_1^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_l^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_l^j \end{pmatrix} = -V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{k+2l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

It is easy to check that $V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ satisfies

$$|\det V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})| = 2^{-l} \mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}), \tag{27}$$

where v_{k+2l} is defined in (10).

Consider a random function $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k+2l-1})^T : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{R}^{k+2l}$ defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) := -V^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j x_k^j \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_1^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_l^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j z_l^j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{28}$$

It follows from (26) that (25) is equivalent to

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{k+2l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{29}$$

Consider a random function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) := \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j \eta_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) x_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j \xi_j x_i^{j-1} \right| \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j \eta_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) z_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j \xi_j z_i^{j-1} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Lemma 8.1. *For all* $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})) \right] = \rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}). \tag{31}$$

Proof. The idea of the proof goes back to [22, pp. 58–59] (see also [21, Lemmas 5, 6]).

By definition of the expected value,

(32)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}))\right] =& \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})}\\ &\times\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k-2l+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})x_i^{j-1}+\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j x_i^{j-1}\right|\\ &\times\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})z_i^{j-1}+\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j z_i^{j-1}\right|^2\\ &\times\prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(r_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s}))\prod_{i=k+2l}^{n} f_i(s_i)\mathrm{d}s_{k+2l}\dots\mathrm{d}s_n,\end{aligned}$$

where the functions $r_0,\dots,r_{k+2l-1}$ are defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})\\ \vdots\\ r_{k+2l-1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s})\end{pmatrix} := -V^{-1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j x_1^j\\ \vdots\\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j x_k^j\\ \mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_1^j\\ \mathrm{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_1^j\\ \vdots\\ \mathrm{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_l^j\\ \mathrm{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_l^j\end{pmatrix} \tag{33}$$

and $\mathbf{s} := (s_{k+2l},\dots,s_n)$.

Now we perform the following change of variables:

$$s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}(-1)^{k+2l-i+j}\sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})t_j,\quad i=k+2l,\dots,n. \tag{34}$$

where σ_i's are defined in (9). The Jacobian is a lower triangle matrix with ones on the diagonal, hence the determinant is 1. This variable change is suggested by the fact that $x_1,\dots,x_k,z_1,\bar{z_1}\dots,z_l,\bar{z_l}$ are zeros of the polynomial

$$g(z) := r_0 + r_1 z + \dots + r_{k+2l-1}z^{k+2l-1} + s_{k+2l}z^{k+2l} + \dots + s_n z^n,$$

see (33). Thus for some $t_0',\dots,t_{n-k-2l}'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z-x_j)\prod_{j=1}^{l}(z-z_j)(z-\bar{z_j})\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j' z^j\right)\\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l}(-1)^{k+2l-j}\sigma_{k+2l-j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})z^j\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j' z^j\right).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Comparing the coefficients of the polynomials from the left-hand and right-hand sides and recalling (34) we obtain that $t_i' = t_i$ for $i=0,\dots,n-k-2l$ and

$$r_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}(-1)^{k+2l-i+j}\sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})t_j,\quad i=0,\dots,k+2l-1. \tag{36}$$

If we differentiate the first equation in (35) at points x_i, z_i, and $\bar{z}_i$, we get

(37)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j x_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j x_i^{j-1} = \prod_{j\neq i}(x_i - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^{l}(x_i - z_j)(x_i - \bar{z}_j) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j x_i^j \right),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j z_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j z_i^{j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k}(z_i - x_j) \prod_{j\neq i}(z_i - z_j)(z_i - \bar{z}_j) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j z_i^j \right),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j \bar{z}_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j \bar{z}_i^{j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k}(\bar{z}_i - x_j) \prod_{j\neq i}(\bar{z}_i - z_j)(\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j \bar{z}_i^{\,j} \right).$$

Substituting (34), (36), and (37) in (32) completes the proof of the lemma. □

Denote by $J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ the *real* Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ at point $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$:

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial x_k} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial x_k} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.2. *For all* $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$,

$$|\det J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})| = 2^l \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}), \tag{38}$$

where $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ *is defined in* (30).

Proof. Differentiating

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = -\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{k+2l} & x_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_k^{k+2l} & x_k^{k+2l+1} & \dots & x_k^n \\ \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^n \\ \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^n \\ \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{k+2l} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_1^{j-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_2^{j-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_k^{j-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j\xi_j x_1^{j-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j\xi_j x_2^{j-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j\xi_j x_k^{j-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_4 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We finish the proof by moving the second term from the left-hand side to the right-hand side, using (27), and noting that for any analytic function $f(z)$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z} & \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z} \\ \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} f}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z} & \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} f}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z} \end{pmatrix} = |f'(z)|^2.$$

□

Lemma 8.3 (Coarea formula)**.** *Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a region. Let $\mathbf{u} : B \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a Lipschitz function and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be an L^1-function. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \#\{\mathbf{x} \in B : \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}\}\, h(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_B |\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|\, h(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{39}$$

where $J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof. See [10, pp. 243–244]. □

8.2. **Proof.** Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 5.1. To this end, we show that for any family of mutually disjoint Borel subsets $B_1, \dots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{k+1}, \dots, B_{k+l} \subset \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = 2^l \int_{B_1} \cdots \int_{B_{k+l}} \rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}x_1 \dots \mathrm{d}x_k \mathrm{d}z_1 \dots \mathrm{d}z_l. \tag{40}$$

From (29) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\#\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_{k+l} : \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{k+2l-1})\}\right]. \tag{41}$$

For $j = 1, \dots, l$ denote by $\tilde{B}_{k+j}$ a "real counterpart" of B_{k+j}:

$$\tilde{B}_{k+j} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x + iy \in B_{k+j}\}.$$

Let us apply Lemma 8.3 to (41) with

$$m = k + 2l, \quad B = B_1 \times \dots \times B_k \times \tilde{B}_{k+1} \times \dots \times \tilde{B}_{k+l},$$

$$\mathbf{u}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2l}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} \pm i x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2l-1} \pm i x_{k+2l}),$$

$$h(y_0, \dots, y_{k+2l-1}) = f_0(y_0) \dots f_{k+2l-1}(y_{k+2l-1}).$$

Note that the indices of y_i's are shifted by 1 according to the enumeration of polynomial coefficients. Hence due to Lemma 8.3, the right-hand side of (41) is

equal to

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{k+2l}} \mathbb{E}\left[\#\{(x_1,\dots,x_{k+2l})\in B\,:\,\mathbf{u}(x_1,\dots,x_{k+2l})=\mathbf{y}\}\right] f_0(y_0)\dots f_{k+2l-1}(y_{k+2l-1})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\int_B |\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(u_i(x_1,\dots,x_{k+2l}))\,\mathrm{d}x_1\dots\mathrm{d}x_{k+2l},$$

where in the last step we used first Fubini's theorem and then (39). The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{u}$ coincides with the real Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, the determinant of which is given by Lemma 8.2. Thus, switching from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and again using Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l}\mu(B_i)\right] = 2^l \int\limits_B \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}))\right]\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where $\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ is defined in (30).

Combining this with (31) implies (40), and due to (5) the theorem follows.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Prof. Zakhar Kabluchko and Prof. Manjunath Krishnapur for informing the authors about a number of relevant papers in this research area.

References

[1] P. Bachmann. *Zahlentheorie. II. Theil. Die analytische Zahlentheorie*. BG Teubner, Leipzig, 1894.

[2] F. Barroero and M. Widmer. Counting lattice points and O-minimal structures. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2014(18):4932–4957, 2014.

[3] F. Barthe, O. Guédon, S. Mendelson, and A. Naor. A probabilistic approach to the geometry of the l_p^N-ball. *Ann. Probab.*, 33(2):480–513, 2005.

[4] A. T. Bharucha-Reid and M. Sambandham. *Random polynomials*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, Florida, 1986.

[5] P. Bleher and X. Di. Correlations between zeros of a random polynomial. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 88(1-2):269–305, 1997.

[6] P. Bleher and X. Di. Correlations between zeros of non-Gaussian random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (46):2443–2484, 2004.

[7] H. Davenport. On a principle of Lipschitz. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 26(3):179–183, 1951. Corrigendum: "On a principle of Lipschitz", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39** (1964), 580.

[8] A. Edelman and E. Kostlan. How many zeros of a random polynomial are real? *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(1):1–37, 1995. Erratum: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), vol. 33 (1996), no. 3, p. 325.

[9] P. Erdös and A. Offord. On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 6(1):139–160, 1956.

[10] H. Federer. *Geometric measure theory*, volume 153 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1969.

[11] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Correlation functions of real zeros of random polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1510.00025*, 2015.

[12] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Correlations between real conjugate algebraic numbers. *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, 16(4):90–99, 2015.

[13] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Distribution of complex algebraic numbers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(1):61–71, 2017. Preprint arXiv:1410.3623, 2014.

[14] R. Grizzard and J. Gunther. Slicing the stars: counting algebraic numbers, integers, and units by degree and height. *Preprint, arXiv:1609.08720*, 2016.

[15] J. B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, and B. Virág. *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, volume 51 of *University Lecture Series*. AMS, 2009.

[16] I. Ibragimov and D. Zaporozhets. On distribution of zeros of random polynomials in complex plane. In *Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory*, volume 33 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 303–323. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

[17] I. Ibragimov and O. Zeitouni. On roots of random polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(6):2427–2441, 1997.
[18] I. A. Ibragimov and N. B. Maslova. The mean number of real zeros of random polynomials. I. Coefficients with zero mean. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.*, 16(2):229–248, 1971. In Russian; Engl. translation: Theor. Probability Appl. 16 (1971), no. 2, p. 228–248.
[19] Z. Kabluchko and D. Zaporozhets. Asymptotic distribution of complex zeros of random analytic functions. *Ann. Probab.*, 42:1374–1395, 2014.
[20] M. Kac. On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49(4):314–320, 1943. Correction: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 49 (1943), no. 12, p. 938.
[21] D. Kaliada. On the density function of the distribution of real algebraic numbers. *Preprint, arXiv:1405.1627*, 2014.
[22] D. Koleda. On the frequency of integer polynomials with a given number of close roots. *Tr. Inst. Mat. (Minsk)*, 20(2):51–63, 2012. (In Russian).
[23] S. Lang. *Algebraic number theory.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.–London–Don Mills, Ont., 1970.
[24] R. Lipschitz. Über die asymptotischen Gesetze von gewissen Gattungen zahlentheoretischer Funktionen. *Monatsber. der Berliner Akademie*, 1865:174–185, 1865.
[25] D. Lubinsky, I. Pritsker, and X. Xie. Expected number of real zeros for random orthogonal polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1507.01258*, 2015.
[26] D. Masser and J. D. Vaaler. Counting algebraic numbers with large height II. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 359(1):427–445, 2007.
[27] D. Masser and J. D. Vaaler. Counting algebraic numbers with large height I. In *Diophantine Approximation*, volume 16 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 237–243. SpringerWienNewYork, Vienna, 2008.
[28] A. Nazarov and D. Zaporozhets. What is the least expected number of roots of a random polynomial? *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 53(1):117–133, 2009.
[29] I. Pritsker. Asymptotic zero distribution of random polynomials spanned by general bases. *Contemp. Math.*, 661:121–140, 2016.
[30] I. Pritsker and K. Ramachandran. Equidistribution of zeros of random polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1607.02855*, 2016.
[31] D. Shparo and M. Shur. On the distribution of roots of random polynomials. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, 3:40–43, 1962. (In Russian).
[32] K. Söze. Real zeroes of random polynomials, ii: Descartes' rule of signs and anti-concentration on the symmetric group. *Preprint, arXiv:1601.04858*, 2016.
[33] P. G. Spain. Lipschitz^2: a new version of an old principle. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 27(6):565–566, 1995.
[34] D. C. Stevens. The average number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22(4):457–477, 1969.
[35] T. Tao and V. Vu. Local universality of zeroes of random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2015(13):5053–5139, 2015. Preprint arXiv:1307.4357, 2013.
[36] B. L. van der Waerden. Die Seltenheit der reduziblen Gleichungen und der Gleichungen mit Affekt. *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, 43(1):133–147, 1936.
[37] M. Widmer. Integral points of fixed degree and bounded height. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2016(13):3906–3943, 2016.
[38] D. Zaporozhets. On the distribution of the number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, 320(Veroyatn. i Stat. 8):69–79, 2004. In Russian; Engl. translation: J. Math. Sci. vol. 137 (2006), no. 1, pp. 4525–4530.
[39] D. N. Zaporozhets. Random polynomials and geometric probability. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 400(3):299–303, 2005. In Russian; Engl. translation: Doklady Mathematics, vol. 71 (2005), no. 1, pp. 53–57.